



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,304	07/15/2005	Bodo Heller	21854-000061-US1	6818
30678 CONNOLLY I	7590 09/06/200 BOVE LODGE & HUT	EXAMINER		
1875 EYE STREET, N.W.			HRUSKOCI, PETER A	
SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	· .			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary						
		10/542,304	HELLER ET AL.			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sneet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/15 a	and 10/17/05, and 2/17/06.	•			
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims		·			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	r election requirement. r. epted or b)□ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) M Notice of References Cited (DTO 200)						
	1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)   Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08						

Application/Control Number: 10/542,304

Art Unit: 1724

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In the specification on page 3 line 21 "a" should be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1 "liquor" is erroneous. In claims 1 and 7 "the liquid and solid phases", "the solids", "the liquid", and "the treatment stage" lack clear antecedent basis. Claims 2-6 and 8 depend from claims 1 and 7.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GB 2,113,199A Bakan et al. in view of Long et al. 5,248,419 and Barrick et al. 4,377,486. Bakan et al. disclose (see Abstract) a method of decontaminating sludge substantially as claimed. The claims differ from Bakan et al. by reciting that the sludge is treated in a closed vessel containing a source of ozone in the headspace, and liquor is recycled in the process. Long et al. disclose (see col. 10 line 53 through col. 12 line 68, and col. 14 line 59 through 16 line 40) that it is known in the art to treat sludge with acid and ozone in a closed vessel, to aid in forming fertilizer and removing heavy metals. Barrick et al. disclose (see col. 5 line 25 through col. 8 line 17) that it is known in the art to treat sewage sludge containing metals with acid and ozone, filter the

sludge, and recycle filtrate water, to a sewage treatment plant. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the method of Bakan et al. by utilizing the recited closed vessel and headspace, and recycling the liquor, in view of the teachings of Long et al. and Barrick et al. respectively, to aid in oxidizing the sludge and disposing of the liquor.

Claim 1 properly written to overcome the above 35 USC 112 rejection would be allowable.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter A. Hruskoci whose telephone number is (571) 272-1160. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 1724

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Peter A. Hruskoci Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

8/30/07